28. Tehri
29. Chamoli
30. Uttarkashi
31. BHEL Hardwar
32. Mussoorie
33. Rudrapur
34. Kashipur
35. Chandausi
36. Ranikhet
37. Pantnagar
38. Amroha
39. Unnao

List of Proposed SFT Terminals to be provided during 1992-93

1. Ramnagar
2. Ferozabad
3. Hathras
4. Etah
5. Kasganj
6. Tundla
7. Narora
8. Shikohabad
9. Maunathbhanjan
10. Moghalsarai
11. Padrauna
12. Etawah
13. Hamirpur
14. Fatehpur
15. Lalitpur
16. Orai
17. Farrukhabad
18. Banda
19. Fatehgarh
20. Renukoot
21. Robertsganj
22. Shahjinagr
23. Bamrauli
24. Phulpur
25. Handia
26. Kunda

Poor Tele ~n network in Goa

*260. SHRI JOHN F. FERNANDES: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the poor telecom-network in Goa, and whether there are consistent demands from Goa Government to establish integrated network service for the whole of Goa; and

(b) if so, by when the facility is likely to be provided in Goa?

THE MINISTER OF STATE (INDEPENDENT CHARGE) OF THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI RAJESH PILOT): (a) The performance of of Telecom. network in Goa is generally satisfactory. There is a plan to instal Integrated Digital Network for the whole of Goa.

(b) This facility is likely to be available by the end of 8th plan.

Tibetan and Chakma refugees settled in Arunachal Pradesh

1374. SHRI NYODEK YONGGAM: Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total number of Tibetan and Chakma refugees settled in Arunachal Pradesh;

(b) when were they permitted to settle in Arunachal Pradesh;

(c) is there any proposal of the Central Government to repatriate them to their respective countries—China and Bangladesh; and

(d) if so, when will they be repatriated to their own countries?

THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI MADHAVSINH SOLANKI): (a) Tibetan refugees: 3920 persons, Chakma refugees; 4102 families.

(b) While the Tibetan refugees have been permitted to settle since 1959, Chakma refugees came in 1964-65.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

Inquiry into firing incident near Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi

1375. SHRI A. NALLASIVAN: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether any enquiry was made into the incident of Police firing near Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi on 25th September, 1990 following agitation by students and others against implementation of Mandal Commission Report;

(b) if so, the outcome thereof;

(c) whether the death of a young man, named Aditya Narain in Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi on 14th October, 1990 was enquired into; and

(d) if so, whether any action has been taken against the police official who had reportedly fired on Shri Aditya Narain, if so, the details in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI M. M. JACOB): (a) and (b) No magisterial enquiry into the police firing near Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi on 25th September, 1990 has been conducted.

(c) and (d) Following a complaint from Shri R. N. Sharma, regarding the death of his son, Shri Aditya Narain, in the police firing, the Commissioner of Police ordered the complaint to be enquired into by Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police (South-West District.)

The Delhi police have reported that the circumstances on the morning of 25th September, 1990 as brought out by the enquiry are as follows:—

There were several incidents of violence, arson stoning and forcible closure of markets in the Sarojini Nagar area. A Sub-Inspector and a Constable were confronted by a violent mob near Sarojini Nagar. Finding themselves mobbed by the riotous assembly and after attempts at persuasion failed, a warning shot was fired in the air and thereafter the Sub-Inspector resorted to firing from his revolver. Shri Aditya Narain Sharma was injured in the firing and subsequently died on 14th October, 1990. The Sub-Inspector was transferred.

Non-working telephone of a Member of Parliament

1376. SHRI NYYODEK YONGGAM: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the telephone No. 320 Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh meant for one of the Members of Parliament of Arunachal Pradesh is not working since February, 1991; and

(b) if so, what is the reasons therefor?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) No, Sir. However, telephone went out of order frequently and remained interrupted for quite some time during March, 1991 to June, 1991.

(b) The Telephone is provided on overhead lines of considerable length (23 Km) and passing through jungles which makes it vulnerable for breakdown. Patrolling of line, sectionalizing and restoration takes some time due to difficult terrain.

Withdrawal of buses on route Number 920 and 973

1377. CHOWDHRY HARI SINGH: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some DTC buses or DTC-controlled private buses on routes 920 and 973 used to start from Kendriya Terminal at different times in the evenings for the benefit of commuters for the homeward journeys;